

**From:** [REDACTED]

Sent: Tuesday, August 1, 2023 12:10 PM

**To:** Mckenna Lorna: H&F ; Licensing HF: H&F <[licensing@lbhf.gov.uk](mailto:licensing@lbhf.gov.uk)>

**Subject:** RE: Objection - RE: 2023/01105/LAPR

Dear Lorna and Licensing Team,

**RE:** 2023/01105/LAPR: East African Cuisine: 335 North End Road London SW6 1NN

We strongly object to this license application to sell alcohol on and off the premises Mon-Sat until 1.00am and on Sundays until 01.30am. North End Road (NER) is a known crime hotspot with drugs and alcohol abuse particularly prevalent – providing further opportunities to congregate and drink alcohol outside the premises until the early morning hours will only exacerbate crime and ASB, and will negatively impact resident's ability to sleep. The NER and its many side streets is populated by hardworking professionals, families with young children and older people that require a full night's sleep. To sanction late night hours with alcohol sales would condemn residents to noise and nuisance and commercial activity via dispatch/delivery motorbike riders well beyond normal business hours and well into the essential time for sleep for young and old alike.

As residents, we acknowledge the hugely positive steps and investment taken to regenerate The NER market – by allowing this license and setting a precedence for fast-food establishments – will only undo all the positives that have been achieved to date and likely detract future alternative lettings.

I wish to point out the recent decision for Selekt Chicken, 349 NER - this application for extended early morning hours (refreshment only not alcohol) was rejected by the Committee. The decision on Selekt Chicken to reject the application is pertinent and directly applicable to this application "it was the Committee's considered opinion that if granted the licensed activity was likely to create more public nuisance and anti-social behaviour caused by customers and delivery drivers collecting deliveries from the licensed premises. It was the Committee's considered opinion that this would have an adverse impact on local residents and the promotion of the licensing objective of prevention of public nuisance and prevention of crime and disorder" (and that is even without alcohol); and acknowledges that the Committee took into account "concerns regarding noise and nuisance caused by delivery vehicles late at night as well as noise created by delivery drivers who will congregate at the Premises waiting to collect food". It is clear and precise on exactly the fact pattern and circumstances that are presented to the Committee in this Application. We respectfully ask that the Committee consistently applies the principles that are established in the Decision of Selekt Chicken.

Conclusion: The principal objections are that the Application:

1. Will create more public nuisance and anti-social behaviour
2. Will have an adverse impact on the prevention of crime and disorder
3. Should be subject to the same guiding principles set out in, and the conclusion of, the decision of the Committee in the case of Selekt Chicken
4. Does not promote the licensing objective of public safety
5. Should be consistent with the legal common law position that recognises the right of householders to the necessity of sleep
6. Late night activity inappropriate to the local area due to its proximity to residential roads

Yours sincerely

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Dear Sir/Madam,

Licensing Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 27/07/2023 12:06 PM from [REDACTED]

### Application Summary

Address: 335 North End Road London SW6 1NN

---

Proposal: Licensing Act - Premises Licence

---

Case Officer: Ms Lorna McKenna

---

[Click for further information](#)

### Customer Details

Name: [REDACTED]

---

[REDACTED]

---

Address: [REDACTED]

---

### Comments Details

Commenter Type: Residents Group

---

Stance: Customer objects to the Licensing Application

---

Reasons for comment:

Comments: 27/07/2023 12:06 PM 2023/01105/LAPR 335 North End Road London SW6 1NN

extended hours to 01:30 and extensive change of ground floor and basement plans/licensable areas and smoking areas

-We oppose extended hours due to noise, nuisance, crime and disorder, public safety reasons. We reserve our right to comment further, to add further evidence.

Longer hours mean more delivery noise and nuisance, asb etc.

Residents are in favour of a thriving North End Road into the evening, but into and beyond the late evening, creates too many problems for residents. The H&F Licensing Policy clearly states that residents must be taken into consideration when granting hours for Premises. What is this part of Fulham but thousands of residents?

North End Road and its thousands of residents who live directly in North End Road, and above this Premises, as well as in residential roads off the North End Road need to sleep at night. Extending to 01:00 with closing at 1:30 will only add to the problems of increased crime, disorder, more noise, more nuisance, especially from delivery vehicles and their drivers. This area suffers from high crime rates, Met

---

Police-defined 'key' crime hot spots directly on North End Road, disorder into the later evening, increased drug sales and the problems associated with the drug undercurrent that is well known to Police and residents.

--We will be presenting the Lic Committee with crime hot spot maps from the Met Police. The longer the hours, the more problems we have on North End Road and these problems feed into residential roads.

-This address has no planning permission for takeaway. We are unclear about the proposed smoking area and second hand smoke nuisance for residents above/around.

--The plans submitted are not reflected on any planning permissions but we are contacting Planning re this so that we can better inform ourselves and the Lic Committee.

//end//

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Monday, July 31, 2023 2:25 PM  
**To:** Licensing HF: H&F <[licensing@lbhf.gov.uk](mailto:licensing@lbhf.gov.uk)>  
**Subject:** Ref: 2023/01105/LAPR - Gilass Cafe and Restaurant

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

Sent via email to: [licensing@lbhf.gov.uk](mailto:licensing@lbhf.gov.uk)

Ref: 2023/01105/LAPR

Application for extended and outside premises license for Gilass Cafe and Restaurant

Dear Lorna McKenna,

I, [REDACTED], oppose to the extending hours to the premises license application from Gilass Cafe and Restaurant, from existing midnight to proposed 1.30am.

I live near Fulham Broadway and am disturbed and worried by the noise, crime and anti-social behaviour from those who frequent the local establishments. Living nearby to Fulham Broadway bus stops and Fulham tube station, revellers are attracted to this area, once the establishments are closed where there are many issues of anti-social behaviour late at night such as vomiting, urinating, fighting, noise.

The delivery scooters also collate in Fulham Broadway, and on Samuel Lewis Trust, are noisy, pollutive and fight and argue.

All these issues cause me to be woken up and feel unsafe. The estate of Samuel Lewis Trust is known locally for not having CCTV, it has many blind-spots and escape routes through blocks. Me and my neighbours have issues with non-residents breaking into our communal gardens to congregate to drink, smoke weed and take drugs, and to urinate, defecate and vomit.

Should this establishment's licensing hours be extended this will increase and exacerbate the issues we are already experiencing and that will continue into the early morning hours.

Only last week I reported to the police a man urinating in the bin area and exposing himself and a group of men smoking weed in the communal garden. CAD 2991/28Jul23. My previous councillor Ben Coleman is fully aware of the long-term, ongoing issues experienced on Samuel Lewis Trust estate as he worked for many years with the residents to try and solve such issues.

If I am unable to attend the licensing hearing for this application, I ask [REDACTED] or his Representative to represent me, and anyone I may be representing, should other neighbours ask me to represent them.

Yours sincerely,

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

**From:****Sent:** Saturday, September 16, 2023 12:41 PM**To:** Licensing HF: H&F <[licensing@lbhf.gov.uk](mailto:licensing@lbhf.gov.uk)>**Cc:****Subject:** Re: Notice of Hearing - Gilass Café And Restaurant, 335 North End Road London SW6 1NN

Dear Lorna McKeena,

I have an important meeting that I must attend so I ask my area, of Barclay Road Conservation Area Neighbourhood Watch, (better known as Barclay Road Residents) or her representative to represent me at the hearing. I have given her my Representation so that she can expand on these specific points to the Licensing Committee.

In summary my points raised in objection for Licensing application are:

- I represent Samuel Lewis Trust (SLT) Residents - 268 flats of which 223 are general social need families and 18 are sheltered housing for elderly and disabled. - a large majority are vulnerable and isolated residents, and majority are families with children. There are many safeguarding and mental health issues on this estate.
- SLT is situated just off Vanston Place and Fulham Broadway, that has 9 bus routes (2 are night buses) and tube station with many pub, clubs and restaurants in the local vicinity as well as Chelsea Football ground, Eel Brook Common and a local cinema a short walk away.
- This area is situated with close proximity to the travel links, and its residents are disproportionately affected by anti-social behaviour, especially from drunk, loud and rowdy revellers who frequent the area to urinate and vomit and congregate to travel, especially in the early hours on the night buses and also suffer from ASB delivery scooter drivers who also urinate and fight on Vanston Place. Last reported witnessed incident of urinating on SLT CAD 2991/28Jul23
- For the above reasons and that the Framework for town centres clearly states 11pm cut off time, I do not agree with the extended hours of this alcohol license, as this will further increase the ASB, crime disorder, noise and nuisance from the patrons and delivery drivers in our area.

Yours sincerely

Dear Sir/Madam,

Licensing Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 31/07/2023 4:25 PM from [REDACTED].

### Application Summary

Address: 335 North End Road London SW6 1NN

---

Proposal: Licensing Act - Premises Licence

---

Case Officer: Ms Lorna McKenna

---

[Click for further information](#)

### Customer Details

Name: [REDACTED]

---

Email:

---

Address: [REDACTED]

---

### Comments Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

---

Stance: Customer objects to the Licensing Application

---

Reasons for comment:

Comments: 31/07/2023 4:25 PM I oppose both the extension of hours and the sale of alcohol to 01:00 and closing at 01:30. I believe that the application contravenes the four licensing objectives. I reserve the right to make further representations. Principally (1) the area has significant crime issues. Late night opening hours provide a "home", or location for well documented ASB and disorder offences (2) the proximity of the site to 100% residential roads will cause noise and nuisance for residents (dispatch and delivery drivers will congregate in large numbers and use all the local routes) during night time hours - see the recent decisions in the cases of Swimming Pig, Morley's, Papa Johns and Selett Chicken (3) given that the area is very close to a large number of schools and 100% residential areas there are grave and serious concerns about the welfare of children and (4) whilst very supportive of the great efforts to regenerate the North End Road market I believe that a line should be drawn to strike the right balance between the real fears and concerns of residents around public safety, crime, disorder, noise and nuisance and reasonable trading hours in what is an attractive area for businesses. Those businesses to have a responsibility to assess, respect and effectively protect the needs of the community within which they seek to trade. I see no attempt by the

---



Applicant to understand the issues relevant to the site nor (as required) to state clearly the impact of what they propose and proactively take measures to work with and consult local residents to gauge a measured and well-informed view of the problems entailed by the application. More widely, I question the basis underlying the application. The site has no planning permission for "outdoor"/takeaway for either hot food or alcohol so neither are allowed but both are applied for? Hours should remain until midnight only, on premises alcohol until 23:30 and no extension for hours or alcohol. Thank you. [REDACTED]

---

**From:** [REDACTED]

Sent: Monday, July 31, 2023 9:32 PM

**To:** Licensing HF: H&F <[licensing@lbhf.gov.uk](mailto:licensing@lbhf.gov.uk)>

**Cc:**

**Subject:** 2023/01105/LAPR: East African Cuisine: 335 North End Road London SW6 1NN

Good evening,

I am writing with regard to the above application and wish to lodge an objection as Co-Chair of the Walham Green Ward Panel.

This establishment wishes to move their closing time to 1:30am, to increase their hours to sell alcohol off the premises from midnight to 1:00am, to sell alcohol on the premises from 11:30pm to 1:00am and to increase their opening hours from midnight to 1:30am. Their plan is to do this 7 days a week.

These hours are not in keeping with the area and with the licensing objectives:

The prevention of crime and disorder

Public safety

The retention of public nuisance

The protection of children from harm

This premises has people living above, who will be subject to noise beyond midnight from customers both on the premises and from delivery drivers coming to collect orders. The residents have a right to uninterrupted sleep after midnight, especially children. The premises will in effect be open almost 24 hours a day if they are opening at 8am (having closed at 1:30am). I attach photos of the premises.

The drivers will cause noise when they congregate outside the premises and the deliveries themselves will cause additional noise to those living in adjacent streets. Will the deliveries be made by foot, pedal bike or E-bike to minimise noise and pollution? These deliveries should not be made by scooters, mopeds or cars.

There are already issues with anti-social behaviour on North End Road which has markedly increased this year and caused the police to call this area a "hotspot" for anti-social behaviour and disorder. Adding another venue for late alcohol sales will increase this issue. The side streets are increasingly full of litter, nitreous oxide canisters litter the roads and residents witness anti-social behaviour such as urination and worse in their gardens.

The council have spent a significant amount of money on improving the North End Road, I especially on the hard landscaping. This money will be in vain if the council takes the retrograde step of approving cafes, restaurants and fast food places to be opening later, selling alcohol later etc. The North End Road is a semi-commercial, semi-residential road surrounded by residential streets. Licensing has to take this blend into consideration. The hours in the application are more suited to a premises in a wholly commercial area.

Kind regards





**From:** [REDACTED]

Sent: Thursday, August 3, 2023 6:48 PM

**To:** Licensing HF: H&F <[licensing@lbhf.gov.uk](mailto:licensing@lbhf.gov.uk)>

**Cc:** [REDACTED]

**Subject:** Re: 2023/01105/LAPR: East African Cuisine: 335 North End Road London SW6 1NN

Dear Lorna,

This is the first time I've been asked for my address for representations.

I have moved from [REDACTED] and will be moving into [REDACTED] in the last week of August.

Many thanks

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] from my iPhone

**From:** [REDACTED]

Sent: Tuesday, August 1, 2023 2:17 PM

**To:** Mckenna Lorna: H&F Licensing HF: H&F <[licensing@lbhf.gov.uk](mailto:licensing@lbhf.gov.uk)>

**Cc:**

**Subject:** RE: 2023/01105/LAPR: Kebab Shop - East African Cuisine: 335 North End Road London SW6 1NN

Dear Lorna and Licensing Team,

**RE: 2023/01105/LAPR: Kebab Shop - East African Cuisine: 335 North End Road London SW6 1NN**

My neighbour has alerted me to the above application. Following on from her email (copied below) which I fully support. I wish to officially object to this application on the grounds of noise, nuisance and anti-social behaviour - and residents legal common law position that recognises the right of householders to the necessity of sleep.

Lastly, should a hearing for this application go ahead, I would like to give my allocated 5 minutes time to my neighbour [REDACTED] or her representative.

"We strongly object to this license application to sell alcohol on and off the premises Mon-Sat until 1.00am and on Sundays until 01.30am. The North End Road (NER) has known crime hotspot's with drugs and alcohol abuse particularly prevalent – providing further opportunities to congregate and drink alcohol outside this premises until the early morning hours will only exacerbate crime and ASB, and will negatively impact resident's ability to sleep. The NER and its many side streets is populated by hardworking professionals, families with young children and older people that require a full night's sleep. To sanction late night hours with alcohol sales would condemn residents to noise and nuisance and commercial activity via dispatch/delivery motorbike riders well beyond normal business hours and well into the essential time for sleep for young and old alike.

As residents, we acknowledge the hugely positive steps and investment taken to regenerate The NER market – by allowing this license and setting a precedence for fast-food establishments – will only undo all the positives that have been achieved to date and likely detract future alternative lettings.

I wish to point out the recent decision for Selekt Chicken, 349 NER - this application for extended early morning hours (refreshment only not alcohol) was rejected by the Committee. The decision on Selekt Chicken to reject the application is pertinent and directly applicable to this application "it was the Committee's considered opinion that if granted the licensed activity was likely to create more public nuisance and anti-social behaviour caused by customers and delivery drivers collecting deliveries form the licensed premises. It was the Committee's considered opinion that this would have an adverse impact on local residents and the promotion of the licensing objective of prevention of public nuisance and prevention of crime and disorder" (and that is even without alcohol); and acknowledges that the Committee took into account "concerns regarding noise and nuisance caused by delivery vehicles late at night as well as noise created by delivery drivers who will congregate at the Premises waiting to collect food". It is clear and precise on exactly the fact pattern and circumstances that are presented to the Committee in this Application. We respectfully ask that the Committee consistently applies the principles that are established in the Decision of Selekt Chicken".

Conclusion: The principal objections are that the Application:

1. Will create more public nuisance and anti-social behaviour
2. Will have an adverse impact on the prevention of crime and disorder
3. Should be subject to the same guiding principles set out in, and the conclusion of, the decision of the Committee in the case of Selett Chicken
4. Does not promote the licensing objective of public safety
5. Should be consistent with the legal common law position that recognises the right of householders to the necessity of sleep [Hampstead & Garden Properties v Diomedous 1968]
6. Late night activity inappropriate to the local area due to its proximity to residential roads

Yours sincerely

████████████████████

████████████████████████████████████████

Dear Sir/Madam,

Licensing Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 02/08/2023 4:40 PM from [REDACTED]

### Application Summary

Address: 335 North End Road London SW6 1NN

---

Proposal: Licensing Act - Premises Licence

---

Case Officer: Ms Lorna McKenna

---

[Click for further information](#)

### Customer Details

Name: [REDACTED]

---

Email:

---

Address: [REDACTED]

---

### Comments Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

---

Stance: Customer objects to the Licensing Application

---

Reasons for comment:

Comments: 02/08/2023 4:40 PM I would like to oppose extending the current hours from midnight closing to proposed 01:30 closing.

Only last year they asked for an increase in opening and operating hours, and now they are requesting further extensions. Where will it stop? I don't believe it is in the interests of all the residents in the neighbourhood, and will only add to the existing issues of crime and disorder, and public safety. There are residents in the flats above and around the premises, to say nothing of the hundreds in neighbouring streets off the North End Road. The resulting noise and nuisance from increased hours, with smoking out the back, delivery drivers coming and going, and the customers leaving at 1.30am will disturb the nighttime for many.

We all live in a vibrant area of London and have chosen to do so, but we must be able to sleep without disturbances that can be avoided. Our mental health depends on it. No matter how many signs are put up customers leaving in the early hours, particularly those who have been drinking, are bound to disturb the streets as it is so much quieter at that time than during the day. I experience this regularly with

---



Simmonds further down the North End Road, where revellers leaving at 2pm wait to get taxis and say goodbye to each other making a disturbance which more often than not wakes me up.

We need to draw a line in terms of more restaurants pushing for nighttime hours. We all share the space, and its time residents are given more consideration.

On a secondary note, I see there is no washbasin indicated outside the two toilets in the basement, in the change of internal layout. I trust this is something that would be rectified before consent is given.

---

**From:** [REDACTED]

Sent: Wednesday, August 2, 2023 12:46 PM

**To:** Mckenna Lorna: H&F [REDACTED]; Licensing HF: H&F

**Subject:** objection 2023/01105/LAPR: Kebab Shop - East African Cuisine: 335 North End Road London SW6 1NN

Hi planners,

My name is [REDACTED] and I am the owner of the [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

I strongly object to this license application to sell alcohol on and off the premises Mon-Sat until 1.00am and on Sundays until 01.30am. The North End Road (NER) has known crime hotspot's with drugs and alcohol abuse particularly prevalent – providing further opportunities to congregate and drink alcohol outside this premises until the early morning hours will only exacerbate crime and ASB, and will negatively impact resident's ability to sleep. The NER and its many side streets is populated by **hardworking** professionals, families with young children and older people that require a full night's sleep. To sanction late night hours with alcohol sales would condemn residents to noise and nuisance and commercial activity via dispatch/delivery motorbike riders well beyond normal business hours and well into the essential time for sleep for young and old alike.

As residents, we acknowledge the hugely positive steps and investment taken to regenerate The NER market – by allowing this license and setting a precedence for fast-food establishments – will only undo all the positives that have been achieved to date and likely detract future alternative lettings.

I wish to point out the recent decision for Selekt Chicken, 349 NER - this application for extended early morning hours (refreshment only not alcohol) was rejected by the Committee. The decision on Selekt Chicken to reject the application is pertinent and directly applicable to this application "it was the Committee's considered opinion that if granted the licensed activity was likely to create more public nuisance and anti-social behaviour caused by customers and delivery drivers collecting deliveries from the licensed premises. It was the Committee's considered opinion that this would have an adverse impact on local residents and the promotion of the licensing objective of prevention of public nuisance and prevention of crime and disorder" (and that is even without alcohol); and acknowledges that the Committee took into account "concerns regarding noise and nuisance caused by delivery vehicles late at night as well as noise created by delivery drivers who will congregate at the Premises waiting to collect food". It is clear and precise on exactly the fact pattern and circumstances that are presented to the Committee in this Application. We respectfully ask that the Committee consistently applies the principles that are established in the Decision of Selekt Chicken".

Conclusion: The principal objections are that the Application:

1. Will create more public nuisance and anti-social behaviour
2. Will have an adverse impact on the prevention of crime and disorder
3. Should be subject to the same guiding principles set out in, and the conclusion of, the decision of the Committee in the case of Selekt Chicken
4. Does not promote the licensing objective of public safety
5. Should be consistent with the legal common law position that recognises the right of householders to the necessity of sleep [Hampstead & Garden Properties v Diomedous 1968]

6. Late night activity inappropriate to the local area due to its proximity to residential roads  
Also, I will provide [REDACTED] (here in cc) with 5 minutes of time for the license hearing.

Kind Regards,

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED]

Sent: Tuesday, August 1, 2023 4:08 PM

**To:** Mckenna Lorna: H&F [REDACTED] Licensing HF: H&F  
<[licensing@lbhf.gov.uk](mailto:licensing@lbhf.gov.uk)>

**Cc:** [REDACTED]

**Subject:** Objection to Local Kebab shop Objection to serving alcohol until 1.30am

Dear Lorna and Licensing Team,

**RE: 2023/01105/LAPR: Kebab Shop - East African Cuisine: 335 North End Road London SW6 1NN**

My neighbour has alerted me to the above application. Following on from her email (copied below) which I fully support. I wish to officially object to this application on the grounds of noise, nuisance and anti-social behaviour - and residents legal common law position that recognises the right of householders to the necessity of sleep.

Lastly, should a hearing for this application go ahead, I would like to give my allocated 5 minutes time to my neighbour [REDACTED] or her representative.

"We strongly object to this license application to sell alcohol on and off the premises Mon-Sat until 1.00am and on Sundays until 01.30am. The North End Road (NER) has known crime hotspot's with drugs and alcohol abuse particularly prevalent – providing further opportunities to congregate and drink alcohol outside this premises until the early morning hours will only exacerbate crime and ASB, and will negatively impact resident's ability to sleep. The NER and its many side streets is populated by hardworking professionals, families with young children and older people that require a full night's sleep. To sanction late night hours with alcohol sales would condemn residents to noise and nuisance and commercial activity via dispatch/delivery motorbike riders well beyond normal business hours and well into the essential time for sleep for young and old alike.

As residents, we acknowledge the hugely positive steps and investment taken to regenerate The NER market – by allowing this license and setting a precedence for fast-food establishments – will only undo all the positives that have been achieved to date and likely detract future alternative lettings.

I wish to point out the recent decision for Selekt Chicken, 349 NER - this application for extended early morning hours (refreshment only not alcohol) was rejected by the Committee. The decision on Selekt Chicken to reject the application is pertinent and directly applicable to this application "it was the Committee's considered opinion that if granted the licensed activity was likely to create more public nuisance and anti-social behaviour caused by customers and delivery drivers collecting deliveries form the licensed premises. It was the Committee's considered opinion that this would have an adverse impact on local residents and the promotion of the licensing objective of prevention of public nuisance and prevention of crime and disorder" (and that is even without alcohol); and acknowledges that the Committee took into account "concerns regarding noise and nuisance caused by delivery vehicles late at night as well as noise created by delivery drivers who will congregate at the Premises waiting to collect food". It is clear and precise on exactly the fact pattern and circumstances that are presented to the Committee in this Application. We respectfully ask that the Committee consistently applies the principles that are established in the Decision of Selekt Chicken".

Conclusion: The principal objections are that the Application:

7. Will create more public nuisance and anti-social behaviour
8. Will have an adverse impact on the prevention of crime and disorder
9. Should be subject to the same guiding principles set out in, and the conclusion of, the decision of the Committee in the case of Selekt Chicken
10. Does not promote the licensing objective of public safety
11. Should be consistent with the legal common law position that recognises the right of householders to the necessity of sleep [Hampstead & Garden Properties v Diomedous 1968]
12. Late night activity inappropriate to the local area due to its proximity to residential roads

Yours sincerely

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED]

Sent: Tuesday, August 1, 2023 2:39 PM

**To:** Mckenna Lorna: H&F <[Lorna.Mckenna@lbhf.gov.uk](mailto:Lorna.Mckenna@lbhf.gov.uk)>; Licensing HF: H&F <[licensing@lbhf.gov.uk](mailto:licensing@lbhf.gov.uk)>

**Cc:**

**Subject:** 2023/01105/LAPR: Kebab Shop - East African Cuisine: 335 North End Road London SW6 1NN

Dear Lorna and Licensing Team,

**RE: 2023/01105/LAPR: Kebab Shop - East African Cuisine: 335 North End Road London SW6 1NN**

My neighbour has alerted me to the above application. Following on from her email (copied below) which I fully support. I wish to officially object to this application on the grounds of noise, nuisance and anti-social behaviour - and residents legal common law position that recognises the right of householders to the necessity of sleep.

Lastly, should a hearing for this application go ahead, I would like to give my allocated 5 minutes time to my neighbor - [REDACTED] or her representative.

"We strongly object to this license application to sell alcohol on and off the premises Mon-Sat until 1.00am and on Sundays until 01.30am. The North End Road (NER) has known crime hotspot's with drugs and alcohol abuse particularly prevalent – providing further opportunities to congregate and drink alcohol outside this premises until the early morning hours will only exacerbate crime and ASB, and will negatively impact resident's ability to sleep. The NER and its many side streets is populated by hardworking professionals, families with young children and older people that require a full night's sleep. To sanction late night hours with alcohol sales would condemn residents to noise and nuisance and commercial activity via dispatch/delivery motorbike riders well beyond normal business hours and well into the essential time for sleep for young and old alike.

As residents, we acknowledge the hugely positive steps and investment taken to regenerate The NER market – by allowing this license and setting a precedence for fast-food establishments – will only undo all the positives that have been achieved to date and likely detract future alternative lettings.

I wish to point out the recent decision for Selekt Chicken, 349 NER - this application for extended early morning hours (refreshment only not alcohol) was rejected by the Committee. The decision on Selekt Chicken to reject the application is pertinent and directly applicable to this application "it was the Committee's considered opinion that if granted the licensed activity was likely to create more public nuisance and anti-social behaviour caused by customers and delivery drivers collecting deliveries form the licensed premises. It was the Committee's considered opinion that this would have an adverse impact on local residents and the promotion of the licensing objective of prevention of public nuisance and prevention of crime and disorder" (and that is even without alcohol); and acknowledges that the Committee took into account "concerns regarding noise and nuisance caused by delivery vehicles late at night as well as noise created by delivery drivers who will congregate at the Premises waiting to collect food". It is clear and precise on exactly the fact pattern and circumstances that are presented to the Committee in this Application. We respectfully ask that the Committee consistently applies the principles that are established in the Decision of Selekt Chicken".

Conclusion: The principal objections are that the Application:

13. Will create more public nuisance and anti-social behaviour
14. Will have an adverse impact on the prevention of crime and disorder
15. Should be subject to the same guiding principles set out in, and the conclusion of, the decision of the Committee in the case of Selekt Chicken
16. Does not promote the licensing objective of public safety
17. Should be consistent with the legal common law position that recognises the right of householders to the necessity of sleep [Hampstead & Garden Properties v Diomedous 1968]
18. Late night activity inappropriate to the local area due to its proximity to residential roads

Yours sincerely

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED]

Sent: Thursday, August 3, 2023 10:25 AM

**To:** Licensing HF: H&F <[licensing@lbhf.gov.uk](mailto:licensing@lbhf.gov.uk)>

**Cc:**

**Subject:** Re: 2023/01105/LAPR: Kebab Shop - East African Cuisine: 335 North End Road London SW6 1NN

Hi Lorna, my full name and address:

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Many thanks

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED]

Sent: Tuesday, August 1, 2023 2:29 PM

**To:** Mckenna Lorna: H&F <[Lorna.Mckenna@lbhf.gov.uk](mailto:Lorna.Mckenna@lbhf.gov.uk)>; Licensing HF: H&F <[licensing@lbhf.gov.uk](mailto:licensing@lbhf.gov.uk)>

**Cc:**

**Subject:** RE: 2023/01105/LAPR: Kebab Shop - East African Cuisine: 335 North End Road London SW6 1NN

Dear Lorna and Licensing Team,

**RE: 2023/01105/LAPR: Kebab Shop - East African Cuisine: 335 North End Road London SW6 1NN**

My neighbour has alerted me to the above application. Following on from her email (copied below) which I fully support. I wish to officially object to this application on the grounds of noise, nuisance and anti-social behaviour - and residents legal common law position that recognises the right of householders to the necessity of sleep.

Lastly, should a hearing for this application go ahead, I would like to give my allocated 5 minutes time to my neighbour [REDACTED] or her representative.

We strongly object to this license application to sell alcohol on and off the premises Mon-Sat until 1.00am and on Sundays until 01.30am. The North End Road (NER) has known crime hotspot's with drugs and alcohol abuse particularly prevalent – providing further opportunities to congregate and drink alcohol outside this premises until the early morning hours will only exacerbate crime and ASB, and will negatively impact resident's ability to sleep. The NER and its many side streets is populated by hardworking professionals, families with young children and older people that require a full night's sleep. To sanction late night hours with alcohol sales would condemn residents to noise and nuisance and commercial activity via dispatch/delivery motorbike riders well beyond normal business hours and well into the essential time for sleep for young and old alike.

“As residents, we acknowledge the hugely positive steps and investment taken to regenerate The NER market – by allowing this license and setting a precedence for fast-food establishments – will only undo all the positives that have been achieved to date and likely detract future alternative lettings.

I wish to point out the recent decision for Selekt Chicken, 349 NER - this application for extended early morning hours (refreshment only not alcohol) was rejected by the Committee. The decision on Selekt Chicken to reject the application is pertinent and directly applicable to this application "it was the Committee's considered opinion that if granted the licensed activity was likely to create more public nuisance and anti-social behaviour caused by customers and delivery drivers collecting deliveries from the licensed premises. It was the Committee's considered opinion that this would have an adverse impact on local residents and the promotion of the licensing objective of prevention of public nuisance and prevention of crime and disorder" (and that is even without alcohol); and acknowledges that the Committee took into account "concerns regarding noise and nuisance caused



by delivery vehicles late at night as well as noise created by delivery drivers who will congregate at the Premises waiting to collect food". It is clear and precise on exactly the fact pattern and circumstances that are presented to the Committee in this Application. We respectfully ask that the Committee consistently applies the principles that are established in the Decision of Seлект Chicken".

Conclusion: The principal objections are that the Application:

19. Will create more public nuisance and anti-social behaviour
20. Will have an adverse impact on the prevention of crime and disorder
21. Should be subject to the same guiding principles set out in, and the conclusion of, the decision of the Committee in the case of Seлект Chicken
22. Does not promote the licensing objective of public safety
23. Should be consistent with the legal common law position that recognises the right of householders to the necessity of sleep [Hampstead & Garden Properties v Diomedous 1968]
24. Late night activity inappropriate to the local area due to its proximity to residential roads

Yours sincerely,

[Redacted Signature]

**From:** [Redacted]

Sent: Thursday, August 3, 2023 10:07 AM

**To:** Licensing HF: H&F <[licensing@lbhf.gov.uk](mailto:licensing@lbhf.gov.uk)>

**Cc:**

**Subject:** RE: 2023/01105/LAPR: Kebab Shop - East African Cuisine: 335 North End Road London SW6 1NN

Hi,

My address is: [Redacted]

Thanks

[Redacted Name]

**Director**

**From:**

Sent: Tuesday, August 1, 2023 2:20 PM

**To:** Mckenna Lorna: H&F <[Lorna.Mckenna@lbhf.gov.uk](mailto:Lorna.Mckenna@lbhf.gov.uk)>; Licensing HF: H&F <[licensing@lbhf.gov.uk](mailto:licensing@lbhf.gov.uk)>

**Cc:**

**Subject:** RE: 2023/01105/LAPR: Kebab Shop - East African Cuisine: 335 North End Road London SW6 1NN

Dear Lorna and Licensing Team,

**RE: 2023/01105/LAPR: Kebab Shop - East African Cuisine: 335 North End Road London SW6 1NN**

My neighbour has alerted me to the above application. Following on from her email (copied below) which I fully support. I wish to officially object to this application on the grounds of noise, nuisance and anti-social behaviour - and residents legal common law position that recognises the right of householders to the necessity of sleep.

Lastly, should a hearing for this application go ahead, I would like to give my allocated 5 minutes time to my neighbour [REDACTED] or her representative.

"We strongly object to this license application to sell alcohol on and off the premises Mon-Sat until 1.00am and on Sundays until 01.30am. The North End Road (NER) has known crime hotspot's with drugs and alcohol abuse particularly prevalent – providing further opportunities to congregate and drink alcohol outside this premises until the early morning hours will only exacerbate crime and ASB, and will negatively impact resident's ability to sleep. The NER and its many side streets is populated by hardworking professionals, families with young children and older people that require a full night's sleep. To sanction late night hours with alcohol sales would condemn residents to noise and nuisance and commercial activity via dispatch/delivery motorbike riders well beyond normal business hours and well into the essential time for sleep for young and old alike.

As residents, we acknowledge the hugely positive steps and investment taken to regenerate The NER market – by allowing this license and setting a precedence for fast-food establishments – will only undo all the positives that have been achieved to date and likely detract future alternative lettings.

I wish to point out the recent decision for Selekt Chicken, 349 NER - this application for extended early morning hours (refreshment only not alcohol) was rejected by the Committee. The decision on Selekt Chicken to reject the application is pertinent and directly applicable to this application "it was the Committee's considered opinion that if granted the licensed activity was likely to create more public nuisance and anti-social behaviour caused by customers and delivery drivers collecting deliveries from the licensed premises. It was the Committee's considered opinion that this would have an adverse impact on local residents and the promotion of the licensing objective of prevention of public nuisance and prevention of crime and disorder" (and that is even without alcohol); and acknowledges that the Committee took into account "concerns regarding noise and nuisance caused by delivery vehicles late at night as well as noise created by delivery drivers who will congregate at the Premises waiting to collect food". It is clear and precise on exactly the fact pattern and

circumstances that are presented to the Committee in this Application. We respectfully ask that the Committee consistently applies the principles that are established in the Decision of Selett Chicken”.

Conclusion: The principal objections are that the Application:

25. Will create more public nuisance and anti-social behaviour
26. Will have an adverse impact on the prevention of crime and disorder
27. Should be subject to the same guiding principles set out in, and the conclusion of, the decision of the Committee in the case of Selett Chicken
28. Does not promote the licensing objective of public safety
29. Should be consistent with the legal common law position that recognises the right of householders to the necessity of sleep [Hampstead & Garden Properties v Diomedous 1968]
30. Late night activity inappropriate to the local area due to its proximity to residential roads

Yours sincerely

████████████████████

████████████████

████████████████████████████

**From:** [REDACTED]

Sent: Thursday, August 3, 2023 12:00 PM

**To:** Mckenna Lorna: H&F <[Lorna.Mckenna@lbhf.gov.uk](mailto:Lorna.Mckenna@lbhf.gov.uk)>; Licensing HF: H&F <[licensing@lbhf.gov.uk](mailto:licensing@lbhf.gov.uk)>

**Cc:**

**Subject:** 2023/01105/LAPR: Kebab Shop - East African Cuisine: 335 North End Road London SW6 1NN

Dear Lorna and Licensing Team,

**RE: 2023/01105/LAPR: Kebab Shop - East African Cuisine: 335 North End Road London SW6 1NN**

My neighbour has alerted me to the above application. Following on from her email (copied below) which I fully support. I wish to officially object to this application on the grounds of noise, nuisance and anti-social behaviour - and residents legal common law position that recognises the right of householders to the necessity of sleep.

Lastly, should a hearing for this application go ahead, I would like to give my allocated 5 minutes time to my neighbour [REDACTED] or her representative.

"We strongly object to this license application to sell alcohol on and off the premises Mon-Sat until 1.00am and on Sundays until 01.30am. The North End Road (NER) has known crime hotspot's with drugs and alcohol abuse particularly prevalent – providing further opportunities to congregate and drink alcohol outside this premises until the early morning hours will only exacerbate crime and ASB, and will negatively impact resident's ability to sleep. The NER and its many side streets is populated by hardworking professionals, families with young children and older people that require a full night's sleep. To sanction late night hours with alcohol sales would condemn residents to noise and nuisance and commercial activity via dispatch/delivery motorbike riders well beyond normal business hours and well into the essential time for sleep for young and old alike.

As residents, we acknowledge the hugely positive steps and investment taken to regenerate The NER market – by allowing this license and setting a precedence for fast-food establishments – will only undo all the positives that have been achieved to date and likely detract future alternative lettings.

I wish to point out the recent decision for Selekt Chicken, 349 NER - this application for extended early morning hours (refreshment only not alcohol) was rejected by the Committee. The decision on Selekt Chicken to reject the application is pertinent and directly applicable to this application "it was the Committee's considered opinion that if granted the licensed activity was likely to create more public nuisance and anti-social behaviour caused by customers and delivery drivers collecting deliveries from the licensed premises. It was the Committee's considered opinion that this would have an adverse impact on local residents and the promotion of the licensing objective of prevention of public nuisance and prevention of crime and disorder" (and that is even without alcohol); and acknowledges that the Committee took into account "concerns regarding noise and nuisance caused by delivery vehicles late at night as well as noise created by delivery drivers who will congregate at the Premises waiting to collect food". It is clear and precise on exactly the fact pattern and circumstances that are presented to the Committee in this Application. We respectfully ask that the Committee consistently applies the principles that are established in the Decision of Selekt Chicken".

Conclusion: The principal objections are that the Application:

31. Will create more public nuisance and anti-social behaviour
32. Will have an adverse impact on the prevention of crime and disorder
33. Should be subject to the same guiding principles set out in, and the conclusion of, the decision of the Committee in the case of Selek Chicken
34. Does not promote the licensing objective of public safety
35. Should be consistent with the legal common law position that recognises the right of householders to the necessity of sleep [Hampstead & Garden Properties v Diomedous 1968]
36. Late night activity inappropriate to the local area due to its proximity to residential roads

Yours sincerely

██████████

████████████████████